Comparative Phenotypic and Genotypic Characterization of Temporally Related Nontyphoidal *Salmonella* Isolated from Human Clinical Cases, Pigs, and the Environment in North Carolina

Shivaramu Keelara,¹ Harvey M. Scott,² William M. Morrow,³ Cami S. Hartley,⁴ Denise L. Griffin,⁴ Wondwossen A. Gebreyes,⁵ and Siddhartha Thakur¹

Abstract

Nontyphoidal Salmonella infections caused by antimicrobial-resistant (AMR) strains are of great public health concern. We compared the phenotypic and genotypic relationships among temporally and spatially related AMR Salmonella isolates (n = 1058) representing several predominant serovars, including Salmonella Typhimurium, Salmonella Typhimurium var. 5-, Salmonella Derby, Salmonella Heidelberg, Salmonella Muenchen, Salmonella Schwarzengrund, and Salmonella Rissen of human clinical cases (n=572), pig (n=212), and farm environment (n=274) origin in North Carolina. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using the broth microdilution method, and genotypic resistance determinants, including class I and II integrons, were identified. Overall, Salmonella isolates exhibited the highest frequency of resistance to tetracycline (50%), followed by sulfisoxazole (36%) and streptomycin (27%). We identified 16 different antimicrobial resistance genes, including extended spectrum and AmpC β -lactamases-producing genes (bla_{TEM} , bla_{PSE} , and $bla_{\text{CMY-2}}$), in all the β -lactamand cephalosporin-resistant Salmonella isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment. Class I integrons of 1-kb and 1.2-kb size were identified from all the three sources (humans, 66%; pigs, 85%; environment, 58%), while Class II integrons of 2-kb size were identified only in pig (10%) and environmental (19%) isolates. We detected genotypic similarity between Salmonella Typhimurium isolated from humans, pigs, and the environment while serovars Derby, Heidelberg, and Muenchen exhibited genotypic diversity. Detection of AMR Salmonella isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment is a concern for clinicians and veterinarians to mitigate the dissemination of AMR Salmonella strains.

Introduction

ANTIMICROBIAL-RESISTANT (AMR) Salmonella infections in humans and animals are of great concern in terms of national and global public health. Salmonellosis in humans is still one of the most widespread foodborne illnesses with an estimation of 1.02 million illnesses, 19,336 hospitalizations and deaths of more than 378 people each year in the United States (Scallan *et al.*, 2011). Nontyphoidal salmonellosis is generally associated with consumption of contaminated meat and other food products (Foley *et al.*, 2008; Glenn *et al.*, 2013). In addition, contact with nonfood sources such as contaminated water, as well as direct contact with farm animals and the environment also may result in salmonellosis in humans (Hendriksen *et al.*, 2004; Hoelzer *et al.*, 2011). Nontyphoidal Salmonella (NTS) serovars including Salmonella Typhimurium, Salmonella Heidelberg, Salmonella Infantis, Salmonella Muenchen, Salmonella Anatum, and Salmonella Derby are commonly isolated from food animals, retail food products, and other environmental sources, and are responsible for foodborne human salmonellosis in the United States (Foley *et al.*, 2007; CDC, 2007). In the United States, the role of therapeutic and prophylactic antimicrobial use in food animals, development and propagation of AMR bacterial populations, and their subsequent transmission to

¹Department of Population Health and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.

²Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas.

³Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina. ⁴Division of Public Health, North Carolina State Laboratory of Public Health (NCSLPH), Raleigh, North Carolina.

⁵Department of Veterinary Preventive Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.

COMPARATIVE CHARACTERIZATION OF SALMONELLA

humans through the food chain is under extensive debate (Alali *et al.*, 2009; Qunitana-Hayashi *et al.*, 2012; Keelara *et al.*, 2013). It is important to conduct longitudinal-based studies to study the use of antimicrobials and subsequent development of AMR infections in food animals with potential transfer to humans along the food chain. Emergence and dissemination of drug-resistant *Salmonella* strains of human and pig origin, including those that are resistant to cephalosporins and quinolones, have been a major public health concern worldwide (Gebreyes *et al.*, 2009; Vo *et al.*, 2010). In addition, development of multidrug resistance (resistance to three or more classes of antimicrobials; MDR) among *Salmonella* isolates and their continued maintenance in the absence of known selection pressure is especially concerning (Gebreyes *et al.*, 2006; Keelara *et al.*, 2013).

North Carolina ranks second in pork production in the United States, contributing 14.4% of the national inventory (USDA, 2009). Several *Salmonella* outbreaks associated with pork in humans have been reported in the United States and worldwide in the past decade (CDC, 2007; Wojcik *et al.*, 2012; Scavia *et al.*, 2013). To the authors' knowledge, no studies have been conducted to compare temporally and spatially related NTS isolates from human clinical cases with *Salmonella* isolates arising from pigs and the environment as part of a longitudinal

study in the United States. Therefore, the objective of this study was to characterize and compare *Salmonella* isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment by antimicrobial susceptibility testing, identification of AMR genes, and strain genotyping to determine whether temporally and spatially related *Salmonella* isolates from multiple sources in North Carolina were phenotypically and genotypically similar or diverse.

Materials and Methods

Salmonella isolate sources

In the present study, a total of 1058 temporally and spatially related NTS isolates from human clinical cases (n = 572), pigs (n = 212) and the farm environment (n = 274) originating from multiple counties in North Carolina were characterized at the phenotypic and genotypic levels. The human NTS strains were clinical isolates received from the North Carolina State Public Health Laboratory. All samples were collected from October 2008 to December 2011. The geographical distribution of NTS isolates is represented in Table 1. The human clinical isolates (n = 572) originated from 72 counties in North Carolina. The majority of isolates (n = 183; 32%) came from Mecklenburg, Wake, Cumberland, and Cabarrus counties. Of

TABLE 1. GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF SALMONELLA ISOLATES FROM HUMANS, PIGS, AND THE ENVIRONMENT

	Host and county distribution							
Serovars ^a	<i>Humans</i> (n=572)	<i>Pigs</i> (n=212)	Environment (n=274) Bladen (3), Cumberland (8), Duplin (10) Johnston (45), Sampson (116)					
<i>S</i> . Typhimurium (<i>N</i> =600; H=290; P=126; E=184)	Alamance (5), Anson (4), Brunswick (3), Cabarrus (9), Chatham (3), Columbus (3), Carven (8), Cumberland (17), Durham (14), Edgecombe (3), Forsyth (7), Franklin (5), Gaston (5), Guilford (11), Harnett (4), Johnston (6) Mecklenburg (46), Moore (5), Nash (4), Onslow (8), Orange (3), Out of State (6), Pender (3), Randolph (4), Robeson (5), Rowan (3), Stanly (9), Unknown (8), Wake (29), Wilson (4), Other ^b (46)	Bladen (7), Cumberland (6), Duplin (3), Johnston (20), Sampson (90)						
S. Typimurium Var C (N=137; H=135; P=2; E=0)	Alamance (5), Cabarrus (6), Chatham (3), Columbus (3), Carven (7), Cumberland (4), Durham (3), Johnston (3), Mecklenburg (11), Onslow (4), Randolph (5), Richmond (3), Rockingham (5), Robeson (3), Union (4), Unknown (7), Wake (17), Wilson (4), Other ^b (38)	N/A	N/A					
S. Derby (N=118; H=12; P=74; E=32)	Pender (3), Other ^b (9)	Johnston (4), Sampson (70)	Johnston (26), Sampson (6)					
S. Heidelberg (N=67; H=50; P=0; E=17)	Guilford (4), Mecklenburg (7), Out of State (3), Vance (3), Wake (3), Other ^b (30)	N/A	Johnston (16)					
S. Muenchen (N=82; H=79; P=3; E=0)	Cabarrus (9), Forsyth (3), Mecklenburg (13), New Hanover (8), Onslow (3), Pender (4), Robeson (3), Rutherford (3), Wake (5), Other ^b (28)	Johnston (3)	N/A					
S. Rissen (N=47; H=1; P=7; E=39)	N/A	Cumberland (6),	Cumberland (33), Sampson (6)					
S. Schwarzengrund (N=7; H=5; P=0; E=2)	Other ^b (5)	N/A	Duplin (2)					

 ^{a}N = total no. of serovars.

^bOther represents any county with less than three isolates.

H, human, P, pig; E, environment; N/A, not applicable.

572 human clinical isolates, 173 (30%) originated from the primary pig-producing counties, including Duplin, Johnston, Sampson, and Cumberland counties. All of the pig (n=212)and the environmental (n=274) isolates originated from the major pig-producing counties mentioned above (Table 1). The Salmonella isolates from pigs and their environment were collected as part of longitudinal study conducted from October 2008 to December 2011 on 30 conventional farms at different stages of production from farm to slaughter in North Carolina. The environmental sampling from conventional swine farms consisted of water, feed, soil, and barn floor swabs. In addition, lagoon (repository of waste water draining from the barns), barn floor, and interfarm truck floor swab samples were also collected at conventional farms. The details of the study design, sampling methods, estimates of Salmonella prevalence in pigs and their environment, antimicrobial susceptibility profiles, serovars distribution, and their phenotypic and genotypic characterizations have been reported elsewhere (Keelara et al., 2013).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST)

AST was performed for all Salmonella isolates against a panel of 15 antimicrobials via the broth microdilution method in a 96-well Sensititre[™] plate (CMV1AGNF, the "NARMS panel"; Trek Diagnostics, Inc., Cleveland, OH). The classes of antimicrobials tested included the following: aminoglycosides (amikacin, AMI; gentamicin, GEN; kanamycin, KAN; streptomycin, STR), β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitor combinations (amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, AUG), penicillins (ampicillin, AMP), cephems (ceftriaxone, AXO; cefoxitin, FOX; ceftiofur, TIO), quinolones (ciprofloxacin, CIP; nalidixic acid, NAL), folate pathway inhibitors (sulfisoxazole, FIS; trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, SXT), phenicols (chloramphenicol, CHL), and tetracyclines (tetracycline, TET). The testing procedure was carried out as described in the previous study (Keelara et al., 2013). The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were recorded and breakpoints were determined based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommendations (CLSI, 2010). The isolates exhibiting resistance to three or more classes of antimicrobials were classified as MDR.

Detection of resistance genes and integrons

All the AMR Salmonella isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment were screened for the presence of all known corresponding resistance genes and class 1 and 2 integrons. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed for detection of different AMR genes using the following primers, including: the extended spectrum β -lactamases bla_{TEM} , $bla_{\text{PSE-1}}$ (Carlson et al., 1999) and bla_{CMY-2} genes (Zhao et al., 2001), streptomycin resistance coding aadA1/A2 and strA/B genes (Madsen et al., 2000), kanamycin resistance coding aphAI, Kn genes (Frana et al., 2001), chloramphenicol resistance coding cml gene, sulfisoxazole and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole resistance coding sull (Briggs et al., 1999) and sullI genes (Aarestrup *et al.*, 2003), tetracycline resistance coding *tet*(*A*), *tet*(*B*), *tet*(*C*), and *tet*(*G*) genes, and class1 and class2 integrons (Ng et al., 1999). Template DNA was purified using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Amplification reactions were carried out as described in the above studies.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) analysis

A subset of *Salmonella* isolates (pigs, n = 46; environment, n = 80; humans, n = 271) that were purposively chosen to be representative of different sources of origin, serovar, counties, and AMR profiles were genotyped using PFGE, following the PulseNet protocol (Ribot *et al.*, 2006). BioNumerics software version 6.1 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium) was used to analyze the PFGE images. A dendrogram was generated to determine the clonal relationship among human, pig, and environmental isolates using an unweighted-pair group method with average linkages (UPGMA), and with band position tolerance and optimization of 1.5% each.

Statistical analysis

We used odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) to explore the associations between MDR and the predominant serovars detected in our study (SigmaPlot 11.2; Systat Software, Inc., Chicago, IL). A *p*-value of 0.05 or lower was considered statistically significant.

Results

Distribution of Salmonella serovars

The predominant serovars identified among human clinical *Salmonella* isolates (n=572) were *Salmonella* Typhimurium (50.6%), followed by *Salmonella* Typhimurium var. 5- (23.6%), *Salmonella* Muenchen (13.8%), and *Salmonella* Heidelberg (8.7%). Serovars from pig (n=212) and environmental (n=274) origin were predominantly represented by *Salmonella* Typhimurium (pigs, 59.4%; environment, 67.1%) and *Salmonella* Derby (pigs, 34.9%; environment, 11.6%).

Antimicrobial resistance profiles

A total of 1058 Salmonella isolates from humans (n=572), pigs (n=212), and their environment (n=274) were tested against a panel of 15 antimicrobials. The overall MIC levels and frequency of AMR of Salmonella isolates are presented in Table 2 and Figure 1. All of the Salmonella isolates, irrespective of source of origin, exhibited similar MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ with the exceptions being the following: TET MIC₅₀ in humans, which was $4 \mu g/mL$ (versus pigs and environment: $32 \mu g/mL$); FIS MIC_{50} in pigs, which was $256 \,\mu g/mL$ (humans and environment, $64 \mu g/mL$); and CHL MIC₉₀ in environmental isolates, which was $16 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ (pigs and humans, $32 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$). Overall, Salmonella isolates exhibited the highest frequency of resistance to tetracycline (TET; 50%), followed by sulfisoxazole (FIS; 36%) and streptomycin (STR; 27%). In addition, Salmonella isolates from human clinical cases exhibited slightly higher frequencies of resistance to cephalosporins including AXO, FOX, and TIO (3% each) compared to pigs and environmental isolates (2% each). Overall, the frequency of AMR was higher in Salmonella isolates of pig origin (82.6%) followed by environmental (67.6%) and human clinical isolates (28%), with the exception of AMI (0.7%) and CIP (0.3%) resistance, which were only exhibited by human clinical isolates.

MDR patterns identified

The major MDR patterns identified in humans, pigs and their environment are represented in Table 3. The highest frequency of MDR patterns was detected in *Salmonella*

COMPARATIVE CHARACTERIZATION OF SALMONELLA

TABLE 2. MINIMUM INHIBITORY CONCENTRATION (MIC) LEVELS AND FREQUENCY OF RESISTAN	VCF
of <i>Salmonella</i> Isolates from Humans, Pigs, and Environment	

Antimicrobials																
Source	Range	AMI	AMP	AUG	AXO	FOX	TIO	CHL	CIP	FIS	GEN	KAN	NAL	STR	SXT	TET
Humans (<i>n</i> =572)	MIC 50	2	1	1/0.5	0.25	2	1	8	0.02	64	0.5	8	4	32	0.12/2.38	4
	MIC 90 % R	2 0.7	32 18	16/8 2.6	0.25 3.4	4 3.3	1 3.1	32 12.4	0.02	256 19	0.5 1.2	8 5.9	4 1.3	64 19.5	0.12/2.38	32 20
Pigs (<i>n</i> =212)	MIC 50 MIC 90	2 2	1 32	1/0.5 16/8	0.25 0.25		1 1	8 32	0.02	256 256	0.5 0.5	8 8	4 4	32 64	0.12/2.38 0.12/2.38	32 32
Environment ($n = 274$)	% R MIC 50 MIC 90 % R	0 1 2 0	17.4 1 32 19.3	1.4 1/0.5 16/8 2.5	1.8 0.25 0.25 1.6	2.3 4 4 2.1	1.8 1 1 1.6	13.2 8 16 8	0 0.02 0.03 0	68.0 64 256 46	1.4 0.25 0.5 0	1.4 8 8 5.8	4.2 4 4 3.6	48.5 32 64 27.3	4.7 0.12/2.38 0.12/2.38 6.2	82.0 32 32 88.0

Antimicrobials: AMI, amikacin; AMP, ampicillin; AUG, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid; AXO, ceftriaxone; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; FIS, sulfisoxazole; FOX, cefoxitin; GEN, gentamicin; KAN, kanamycin; NAL, nalidixic acid; STR, streptomycin; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; TET, tetracycline; TIO, ceftiofur.

% R, percent resistance.

isolated from pigs (50.9%) compared to the environment (22.6%) and human (19.2%). The most common MDR patterns identified in all the three sources were FIS-STR-TET, and AMP-CHL-FIS-STR-TET associated with *Salmonella* Derby and *Salmonella* Typhimurium, respectively. The *Salmonella* Derby serovar was significantly (p < 0.001) associated with an MDR pattern FIS-STR-TETwith an OR of 27 (95% CI=15.6–46.8) compared to *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates with this MDR pattern. Specific MDR patterns were detected in particular hosts including FIS-STR-TET and AMP-AUG-AXO-FOX-TIO-TET in *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolated from pigs and environmental isolates. In contrast, the AMP-KAN-STR-

TET (n=5) pattern was found only in *Salmonella* Heidelberg isolates of human origin (Table 3). A single human clinical *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolate was resistant to 12 antimicrobials (including quinolones and multiple cephalosporins) out of 15 tested with a MDR pattern of AMI-AXO-CHL-CIP-FOX-FIS-GEN-KAN-NAL-STR-TIO-TET.

Molecular characterization of AMR determinants

Using PCR, we identified 16 different AMR genes conferring resistance to a number of classes of antimicrobials (Table 3). Ampicillin resistance was predominantly encoded by the



FIG. 1. Antimicrobial resistance profile of *Salmonella* isolates from humans, pigs, and environment. Antimicrobials: AMI, amikacin; AMP, ampicillin, AUG, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid; AXO, ceftriaxone; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; FIS, sulfisoxazole; FOX, cefoxitin; GEN, gentamicin; KAN, kanamycin; STR, streptomycin; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethaxazole; TET, tetracycline; TIO, ceftiofur. MDR, multidrug resistance (resistance \geq 3 classes of antimicrobials); PS (pansusceptible): susceptible to all the antimicrobials tested.

Serovars	MDR patterns ^a	Humans (%), AR genes ^b	Pigs (%), AR genes	Environment (%), AR genes
Salmonella Typhimurium (H=132, P=59, E=60)	FIS-STR-TET	0 N/A	9 (15) aad A1/A2, strA/B, sul2, tet (A), class I integrons	7 (12) aad A1/A2, sul2, tet (A), class I integrons
	AMP-AUG-AXO-FOX-TIO-TET	0 N/A	3 (5) bla _{TEM} , bla _{CMY-2} , tet (C)	5 (8) bla _{TEM} , bla _{CMY-2} , tet (C)
	AMP-CHL-FIS-STR-TET	43 (32) bla _{TEM} / bla _{PSE} , cmlA, aadA1/A2 and tet (G)	21 (35) $bla_{\text{TEM}} / bla_{\text{PSE}},$ cmlA, aadA1/A2 and tet (G)	20 (33) $bla_{\text{TEM}} / bla_{\text{PSE}},$ cmlA, aadA1/A2 and tet (G)
Salmonella Heidelberg (H=29, P=0, E=12)	AMP-KAN-STR-TET	5 (17) bla _{TEM} , str A/B, tet (C)	0 N/A	0 N/A
Salmonella Derby (H=6, P=57, E=17)	FIS-STR-TET	6 (100) aad A1/A2, sul2, tet (A), class I Int	56 (98) aad A1/A2, sul2, tet (A), class I Int	16 (98) aad A1/A2, sul2, tet (A), class I Int

 TABLE 3. DISTRIBUTION OF PREDOMINANT MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE (MDR) PATTERNS

 AND ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE (AR) GENES IN HUMANS, PIGS, AND ENVIRONMENT

^aAntimicrobials: AMP, ampicillin; AUG, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid; AXO, ceftriaxone; CHL, chloramphenicol; FIS, sulfisoxazole; FOX, cefoxitin; KAN, kanamycin; STR, streptomycin; TET, tetracycline; TIO, ceftiofur.

^b $bla_{\text{TEM}}/bla_{\text{PSE}}$ genes encoding ampicillin; *cmlA*, chloramphenicol; *aadA1/A2* and *str A/B*, streptomycin; *tet* (A) (C), tetracycline; *bla*_{CMY-2}, extended-spectrum β -lactamases.

H, MDR Salmonella isolates representing humans; P, MDR Salmonella isolates representing pigs; E, MDR Salmonella isolates representing environment.

extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing bla_{TEM} gene (humans, 71%; pigs, 49%; environment, 81%), followed by the *bla*_{PSE-1} gene (humans, 17%; pigs, 49%; environment, 11%). All the Salmonella isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment that were resistant to third-generation cephalosporins carried the AmpC β -lactamases-producing bla_{CMY-2} gene. Tetracycline-resistant isolates from pigs and the environment harbored four different genes, predominantly tet(A) (pigs, 72%; environment, 68%) followed by *tet*(*B*) (pigs, 10%; environment, 19%), tet(C) (pigs, 4%; environment, 5%), and tet(G)(pigs, 15%; environment, 9%) genes. Among Salmonella isolates from humans, tetracycline resistance was predominantly encoded by tet(G) (58%), tet(A) and tet(C) (each at 17%), and with the notable absence of the tet(B) gene. The Salmonella Typhimurium penta-resistant (AMP-CHL-FIS-STR-TET) isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment harbored similar resistant genes, including *bla*_{TEM}/*bla*_{PSE}, *cml*A, *aadA1*/ A2 and tet(G), encoding resistance to AMP-CHL-STR-TET, respectively (Table 3). The FIS encoding gene sul2 was only detected in the isolates with FIS-STR-TET resistance pattern (Table 3). We detected class I integrons of 1 kb and 1- and 1.2kb size (humans, 66%; pigs, 85%; environment, 58%) and class II integrons of 2 kb (humans, 0%; pigs, 10%; environment, 19%) in MDR Salmonella isolates from pigs and the environment. All the penta-resistant Salmonella Typhimurium from each source exhibited class I integrons of 1- and 1.2-kb size.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

Genotyping of temporally and spatially related *Salmonella* isolates (n=397) by PFGE with the *Xba*I restriction enzyme distributed them into 74 major clusters consisting of isolates with similar fingerprint profiles, and another 118 unique

PFGE patterns represented by a single isolate each (data not shown). *Salmonella* Muenchen isolates from each source had more diversified fingerprint profiles compared to other study serovars. All the *Salmonella* Heidelberg isolates were closely related based on fingerprint profiles and distributed into one large cluster with 80% genotypic similarity.

We created individual dendrograms, one each for Salmonella Derby and Salmonella Typhimurium, to represent relationship between counties and AMR patterns. Identical fingerprint profiles were found among Salmonella Derby isolates of pig and environmental origin from Cumberland, Johnston, and Sampson counties (cluster 3–5; Fig. 2). All the Salmonella Derby isolates with MDR pattern FIS-STR-TET from the three sources were clustered closely in the dendrogram (cluster 2–6; Fig. 2). Even though the Salmonella Derby from humans (ID HS749 and HS289) had an identical resistance pattern (FIS-STR-TET) to those that originated from pigs and the environment in the same county (Sampson and Cumberland), they had different fingerprint profiles (Fig. 2). Genotypic (100%) and phenotypic similarity based on MDR pattern (AMP-CHL-FIS-STR-TET) among isolates of human, pig, and environmental origin was detected only among the Salmonella Typhimurium serovar (cluster 1 and 2; Fig. 3). Overall, the isolates from human, pigs, and the environment exhibited higher levels of genotypic diversity among Salmonella Derby, Salmonella Heidelberg, and Salmonella Muenchen isolates and much more genotypic similarity in Salmonella Typhimurium isolates.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to compare temporally and spatially related NTS isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment based on their AMR phenotypes and genotypic



FIG. 2. Dendrogram showing genotypic similarity among *Salmonella* Derby isolated from humans, pigs, and environment. PFGE, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis; AR, antimicrobial resistance. ^aAMI, amikacin, AMP, ampicillin; AUG, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid; AXO, ceftriaxone; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; FIS, sulfisoxazole; FOX, cefoxitin; GEN, gentamicin; KAN, kanamycin; NAL, nalidixic acid; STR, streptomycin; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; TET, tetracycline; TIO, ceftiofur.

fingerprint profiles. The frequency of AMR was higher in *Salmonella* isolates from pigs (82.6%) and their environment (67.6%) compared to human clinical *Salmonella* isolates (28%). In addition, we observed a higher MIC₅₀ (32 μ g/mL) for TET in pig and environmental isolates compared to the MIC₅₀ (4 μ g/mL) for human isolates. One reason could be that the pig and environmental isolates were from conventional production systems that routinely use antimicrobials for prophylaxis (tetracycline and macrolides as growth promoter) and therapeutic purposes, thereby contributing to an increased frequency of resistance and MIC to antimicrobials (Keelara *et al.*, 2013).

Resistance to β -lactams, including ampicillin and cephalosporins, was observed at low levels in human, pig, and environmental isolates. Human clinical *Salmonella* isolates exhibited resistance to CIP (0.3%), which was never observed in pig or environmental isolates. AMR trend to CIP in *Salmonella* isolates of humans appears to be increasing every year based on the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) annual report on AMR of NTS isolates from 1999 to 2010, as well as other studies (Patchanee *et al.*, 2008; Dorr *et al.*, 2009; NARMS, 2010; Boxstale *et al.*, 2012). The use of ciprofloxacin for treating severe bacterial infections could potentially explain the increase in AMR to this important antimicrobial. AMR among *Salmonella* to ciprofloxacin and third-generation cephalosporins is concerning since they are the drugs of choice for treating human invasive *Salmonella* infections.

A higher frequency of MDR isolates was observed in *Salmonella* isolates of pigs (50.9%) and their environment (22.6%)



FIG. 3. Dendrogram showing genotypic similarity among *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolated from humans, pigs, and environment. PFGE, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis; AR, antimicrobial resistance. ^aAMI, amikacin; AMP, ampicillin; AUG, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid; AXO, ceftriaxone; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; FIS, sulfisoxazole; FOX, cefoxitin; GEN, gentamicin; KAN, kanamycin; NAL, nalidixic acid; STR, streptomycin; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; TET, tetracycline; TIO, ceftiofur.

compared to humans (19.2%). According to the NARMS executive report (1999-2010), the number of reported NTS MDR isolates in humans dropped to 9% from 2007 to 2010. Interestingly, in our study the frequency of MDR isolates from human clinical NTS isolates was higher (19.2%) compared to recent NARMS data (NARMS, 2010). This variation could be attributed to regional differences in the definition of MDR used, reporting practices, human antimicrobial use, or regional strain variation. The two most common patterns observed in this study among all the three sources were FIS-STR-TET and AMP-CHL-FIS-STR-TET. The Salmonella Derby serovar was 27 times (odds ratio) more likely to be associated with the FIS-STR-TET pattern than Salmonella Typhimurium, which suggests establishment of this serovar with a specific MDR pattern in this region. Emergence of Salmonella Derby with this specific MDR pattern is concerning, as it is the top serovar isolated from pigs (NARMS, 2010). Importantly, all of the three classes of antimicrobials to which it is resistant are currently considered critically important by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2012).

The MDR pattern AMP-CHL-FIS-STR-TET was detected at a higher frequency among the human Salmonella Typhimurium isolates when compared to pigs and their environment. This penta-resistant pattern is specific to Salmonella Typhimurium phage type DT104 and is commonly associated with clinical salmonellosis and human foodborne outbreaks worldwide (Helms et al., 2005; Gebreyes et al., 2009; Medalla et al., 2013). This penta-resistant phenotype is often a component of higher-order MDR patterns that include resistance elements against the critically important fluoroquinolones and third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins. As an example, a single Salmonella Typhimurium isolate from a clinical human case exhibited resistance to 12 antimicrobials: very importantly, including resistance against both quinolones and multiple cephalosporins. This pattern in Salmonella Typhimurium has been previously reported from human clinical cases (Patchanee et al., 2008; Glenn et al., 2013).

The molecular characterization of these isolates using PCR to detect the presence of genes encoding resistance to various antimicrobials was consistent among the *Salmonella* isolates from all three sources. Detection of the AmpC β -lactamases producing gene bla_{CMY-2} among all the *Salmonella* isolates resistant to third-generation cephalosporins, including AXO and TIO, was in agreement with previous reports (Zhao *et al.*, 2001; Van *et al.*, 2012). This is the first report of AmpC β -lactamases producing (bla_{CMY-2}) *Salmonella* from this region. Presence of this gene is concerning because *Salmonella* isolates carrying these genes are at an increased risk of acquiring resistance to other classes of antimicrobials (Hamilton *et al.*, 2012; Glenn *et al.*, 2013).

The majority of penta-resistance in Salmonella Typhimurium was encoded by resistance genes carried on class I integrins, and these are believed to play an important role in the dissemination of AMR among susceptible populations of Salmonella both in humans and animals (Aarestrup et al., 2008). This was evidenced by detection of class I integrons of 1 and 1.2 kb in all the penta-resistant (AMP-CHL-FIS-STR-TET) Salmonella Typhimurium isolates of human, pig, and the environment. All of these isolates carried similar resistance genes, including $bla_{\text{TEM}}/bla_{\text{PSE}}$, cmlA, aadA1/A2, and tet(G). All of the Salmonella Derby with FIS-STR-TET pattern carried a Class I integron of 1-kb size, suggesting too that this serovar could play a potentially important role in transmission of AMR to a susceptible population as for Salmonella Typhimurium. We identified the rarely reported Class II integrons of 2-kb size in pig and environmental Salmonella isolates. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of Class II integrons in Salmonella in this region. Further analysis of the class II integrons detected in our study will be performed at a later date to identify additional resistant gene cassettes that may be located on them.

A subset of *Salmonella* isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment exhibiting similar AMR patterns and originating from pig-producing and non-pig-producing counties were

COMPARATIVE CHARACTERIZATION OF SALMONELLA

genotyped using PFGE. We identified 74 major clusters consisting of isolates with similar fingerprint profiles and an additional 118 unique profiles. Isolates of Salmonella Muenchen from human clinical cases that originated from the same county were grouped into two different clusters. However, the majority of Salmonella Muenchen isolates from humans had a unique fingerprint profile, which has previously been reported in Salmonella Muenchen and other Salmonella serovars (Gebreyes et al., 2005; Patchanee et al., 2008). This diversity indicates that there are numerous clones circulating for serovar Muenchen in this region. Similarly, Salmonella Derby isolates from humans were more diverse compared to pig and environmental isolates, even though they had similar resistance patterns and were isolated from counties adjacent to major pig-producing counties; this indicates that different genotypes of Salmonella Derby are prevalent in North Carolina. We identified 100% genotypic similarity only in penta-resistant Salmonella Typhimurium isolates from humans, pigs, and the environment originating from the major pig-producing counties of North Carolina (cluster 1 and 2; Fig. 3). It is worth mentioning that the human Salmonella Typhimurium isolates in these clusters were reported from counties (Cumberland and Wake) adjacent to the major pig-producing counties of North Carolina. However, it is important to mention that no human Salmonella outbreak associated with pork has been reported from this area.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this is the first report to compare temporally and spatially related NTS isolated from humans, pigs, and the environment from this region of the United States. Detection of similar MDR patterns, Class I and II integrons in *Salmonella* of pigs, their environment, and of human origin is a growing public health concern. Even though the human isolates were not directly linked to pigs/farm environment, identical fingerprint profiles suggest that the same strains are circulating in North Carolina. However, it is important to mention that this study cannot predict the direction of *Salmonella* transmission. Further studies to determine the role played by different reservoirs in determining the occurrence and dissemination of AMR *Salmonella* in the food chain will be key to identifying these sources.

Acknowledgments

We thank the swine producers and swine-processing facilities in North Carolina for allowing access to their facilities and the North Carolina State Laboratory of Public Health for providing human clinical *Salmonella* strains. This work was funded by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Research Initiative grant (2008-529245), USDA National Integrated Food Safety Initiative grant (2008-529461), and National Pork Board grant (555105).

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interest exists.

References

Aarestrup FM, Lertworapreecha MC, Evans A, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility and occurrence of resistance genes among *Salmonella enterica* serovar Weltevreden from different countries. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003;52:715–718.

- Aarestrup FM, Oliver Duran C, Burch DG. Antimicrobial resistance in swine production. Anim Health Res Rev 2008;9:135–148.
- Alali WQ, Scott HM, Christian KL, *et al.* Relationship between level of antibiotic use and resistance among *Escherichia coli* isolates from integrated multi-site cohorts of humans and swine. Prev Vet Med 2009;90:160–167.
- Boxstale SV, Dierick K, Huffel XV, *et al.* Comparison of antimicrobial resistance patterns and phage types of *Salmonella* Typhimurim isolated from pigs, pork and humans in Belgium between 2001 and 2006. Food Res Inter 2012;45:913–918.
- Briggs CE, Fratamico PM. Molecular characterization of an antibiotic resistance gene cluster of *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999;43:846–849.
- Carlson SA, Bolton LF, Briggs CE, *et al.* Detection of multi resistant *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104 using multiplex and fluorogenic PCR. Mol Cell Probes 1999;13:213–222.
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The food borne outbreak online database (Food) 2007. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneoutbreaks/Default.aspx, accessed September 12, 2013.
- [CLSI] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twentieth Informational Supplement (June 2010 Update). CLSI Document M100-S20. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2010.
- Dorr P, Tadesse D, Zewde B, *et al.* Longitudinal study of *Salmonella* dispersion and the role of environmental contamination in commercial swine production systems. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75:1478–1486.
- Foley SL, Lynne AM, Nayak R. *Salmonella* challenges: Prevalence in swine and poultry and potential pathogenecity of such isolates. J Anim Sci 2007;86:149–162.
- Foley SL, Lynne AM. Food animal-associated Salmonella challenges: Pathogenicity and antimicrobial resistance. J Anim Sci 2008;86:173–187.
- Frana TS, Carlson SA, Griffith RW. Relative distribution and conservation of genes encoding aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium phage type DT104. Appl Environ Microbiol 2001;67:445–448.
- Gebreyes WA, Thakur S. Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Muenchen from pigs and humans and potential interserovar transfer of antimicrobial resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49:503–511.
- Gebreyes W, Thakur S, Morrow W. Comparison of prevalence, antimicrobial resistance, and occurrence of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* in antimicrobial-free and conventional pig production. J Food Prot 2006;69:743–748.
- Gebreyes W, Thakur S, Dorr P, *et al.* Occurrence of *spvA* virulence gene and clinical significance for multidrug resistant *Salmonella* strains. J Clin Microbiol 2009;47:777–780.
- Glenn LM, Lindsey RL, Folster JP, *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance genes in multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* isolated from animals, retail meats, and humans in the United States and Canada. Microb Drug Resist 2013;3:175–184.
- Hamilton RD, Hulsebus HJ, Akbar S, *et al.* Increased resistance to multiple antimicrobials and altered resistance gene expression in CMY-2 positive *Salmonella enterica* following a simulated patient treatment with ceftriaxone. Appl Environ Microbiol 2012;78:8062–8066.
- Helms M, Ethelberg S, Mølbak K, *et al.* International *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104 infections, 1992–2001. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:859–867.

- Hendriksen WM, Orsel K, Wagenaar JA, *et al*. Animal to human transmission of *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104 A variant. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;9:2225–2227.
- Hoelzer K, Moreno Switt AI, Wiedmann M. Animal contact as a source of human non-typhoidal salmonellosis. Vet Res 2011;42:1–28.
- Keelara S, Scott HM, Morrow WE, et al. Longitudinal study comparing the distribution of phenotypically and genotypically similar antimicrobial resistant Salmonella serovars between pigs and their environment in two distinct swine production systems. Appl Environ Microbiol 2013;79:5167–5178.
- Madsen L, Aarestrup FM, Olsen JE. Characterization of streptomycin resistance determinants in Danish isolates of *Salmonella* Typhimurium. Vet Microbiol 2000;75:73–82.
- Medalla F, Hoekstra RM, Whichard JM, *et al.* Increase in resistance to ceftriaxone and nonsusceptibility to ciprofloxacin and decrease in multidrug resistance among *Salmonella* strains, United States, 1996–2009. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2013; 4:302–309.
- [NARMS] National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System: Executive report, 2010. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2010. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/AnimalVeterinary/SafetyHealth/ AntimicrobialResistance/NationalAntimicrobialResistance MonitoringSystem/ucm312356.htm, accessed September 12, 2013.
- Ng LK, Mulvey MR, Martin I, *et al.* Genetic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in Canadian isolates of *Salmonella* serovar Typhimurium DT104. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999;43:3018–3021.
- Patchanee P, Zewde BM, Tadesse DA, et al. Characterization of multidrug resistant Salmonella enterica serovar Heidelberg isolated from humans and animals. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2008;5:839–851.
- Qunitana-Hayashi MP, Thakur S. Longitudinal study of the persistance of antimicrobial resistant *Campylobacter* strains in distinct swine production system on farms, at slaughter, and in the environment. Appl Environ Microbiol 2012;78:2698–2705.
- Ribot EM, Fair MA, Gautom R, et al. Standardization of pulsedfield gel electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of Escherichia coli 0157:H7, Salmonella, and Shigella for PulseNet. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2006;3:59–67.

- Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, *et al.* Foodborne illness acquired in the United States—Major pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis 2011;17:7–15.
- Scavia G, Ciaravino G, Luzzi I, *et al.* A multistate epidemic outbreak of *Salmonella* Goldcoast infection in humans, June 2009 to March 2010: The investigation in Italy. Eur Surveill 2013;18:20424.
- [USDA] United States Department of Agriculture. September 2009. Organic agriculture: Organic market overview. Washington, DC: Economic Research Service. Available at: http:// www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/organic/demand.htm, accessed September 12, 2013.
- Van TT, Nguyen HN, Smooker PM, *et al*. The antibiotic resistance characteristics of non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* isolated from food-producing animals, retail meat and humans in south east Asia. Int J Food Microbiol 2012;154:98–106.
- Vo AT, van Duijkeren E, Gaastra W, *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance, class I integrons and genomic island I in *Salmonella* isolates from Vietnam. PloS ONE 2010;5:e9440.
- [WHO] World Health Organization. Critically important antimicrobials for human medicine, Geneva, Switzerland, 2012. Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77376/ 1/9789241504485_eng.pdf, accessed September 12, 2013.
- Wojcik OP, Kjelso C, Kuhn KG, et al. Salmonella Typhimurium outbreak associated with smoked pork tenderloin in Denmark, January to March 2011. Scand J Infect Dis 2012;44:903– 908.
- Zhao S, White DG, McDermott PF, *et al.* Identification and expression of cephamycinase *bla*_{CMY} genes in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates from food animals and ground meat. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001;45:3467–3650.

Address correspondence to: Siddhartha Thakur, DVM, PhD Department of Population Health and Pathobiology College of Veterinary Medicine North Carolina State University 1060 William Moore Drive Raleigh, NC 27606

E-mail: sthakur@ncsu.edu