# Genotyping of *Campylobacter coli* isolated from humans and retail meats using multilocus sequence typing and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis

S. Thakur<sup>1</sup>, D.G. White<sup>2</sup>, P.F. McDermott<sup>2</sup>, S. Zhao<sup>2</sup>, B. Kroft<sup>3</sup>, W. Gebreyes<sup>4</sup>, J. Abbott<sup>2</sup>, P. Cullen<sup>5</sup>, L. English<sup>2</sup>, P. Carter<sup>2</sup> and H. Harbottle<sup>2</sup>

1 Department of Population Health and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

3 Department of Nutrition and Food Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

5 Division of Foodborne, Bacterial, and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector borne, and Enteric Diseases, CCID/CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA

#### Keywords

antimicrobial resistance, *Campylobacter coli*, humans, multilocus sequence typing, pulsedfield gel electrophoresis, retail meats.

#### Correspondence

Siddhartha Thakur, Department of Population Health and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, 4700 Hillsborough Street, Raleigh, NC 27606, USA. E-mail: sid\_thakur@ncsu.edu

2008/1347: received 1 August 2008, revised 28 October 2008 and accepted 29 October 2008

doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.04142.x

### Abstract

Aims: To determine the antimicrobial resistant profiles and clonality of *Campylobacter coli* isolated from clinically ill humans and retail meats.

Methods and Results: A total of 98 *C. coli* isolates (20 from humans and 78 from retail meats) were phenotypically characterized. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done using agar dilution method for ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, erythromycin and doxycycline. Seventy *C. coli* isolates including humans (n = 20) and retail meats (n = 50) were genotyped by multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Resistance to ciprofloxacin was found in 29% and 15% of isolates from retail meats and humans. We observed 61 PFGE profiles using two enzymes (*SmaI, KpnI*) with an Index of discrimination of 0.99, whereas MLST generated 37 sequence types. Two clonal complexes were identified with 58 (82%) *C. coli* isolates clustered in the ST-828 complex.

**Conclusions:** Resistance to ciprofloxacin and erythromycin was identified in *C. coli* obtained from retail meats and ill humans. PFGE typing of *C. coli* isolates was more discriminatory than MLST. Grouping of *C. coli* isolates (82%) by MLST in ST-828 clonal complex indicates a common ancestry.

Significance and Impact of the Study: A high frequency of resistance found to ciprofloxacin and erythromycin is concerning from food safety perspective. PFGE using single or double restriction enzymes was found to be more discriminatory than MLST for genotyping *C. coli*. Overall, the *C. coli* populations recovered from humans and retail meats were genotypically diverse.

#### Introduction

*Campylobacter* is an important bacterial foodborne pathogen, responsible for causing the largest number of foodborne-related infections after *Salmonella* in the US. The overall incidence of campylobacteriosis in the US was 12.79 per 100 000 people in 2007 (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2008). However, because of under-reporting, the estimated number of cases is estimated to be 38-fold higher than reported (Mead *et al.* 1999). *Campylobacter jejuni* is responsible for 90–95% of the infections in humans with the remaining because of *Campylobacter coli* [National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS), 2008]. A recent population

<sup>2</sup> Division of Animal and Food Microbiology, Office of Research, Center for Veterinary Medicine, U. S. Food and Drug Administration, Laurel, MD, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Veterinary Preventive Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Columbus, OH, USA

based surveillance study conducted in the UK concluded that *Campylobacter* caused the greatest impact on the healthcare sector with 16 946 hospitalizations (Adak *et al.* 2002). Consumption of contaminated food, particularly retail meat products originating from poultry, cattle and pigs, milk and water have been implicated as the major source of *Campylobacter* infection (Sacks *et al.* 1986; Zhao *et al.* 2001; Thakur *et al.* 2006; Lévesque *et al.* 2007; Litrup *et al.* 2007; Kwan *et al.* 2008).

Illness as a result of *Campylobacter* is further compounded because of the emergence of antimicrobial resistant strains that can be transmitted to humans through the food chain. High frequency of resistance to important classes of antimicrobials like the fluoroquinolones and macrolides used in the treatment of severe cases of campylobacteriosis has been reported from humans and food animals (Asai *et al.* 2007; Rozynek *et al.* 2008). Although *C. jejuni* in humans is considered to be the most important *Campylobacter* species causing infection, recent studies have highlighted the importance of *C. coli* as a human pathogen causing foodborne diseases with an inherent ability to be more frequently resistant to antimicrobials than *C. jejuni* (Gillespie *et al.* 2002; Sails *et al.* 2003; Tam *et al.* 2003; Bywater *et al.* 2004).

For epidemiological studies, genotyping of Campylobacter is important for outbreak investigations and to understand the epidemiology of sporadic cases acquired from various sources. The large number of Campylobacter strains isolated in both the developed and developing countries makes it very important to subtype them quickly and reliably with methods that have high discriminatory power. Various genotyping methods have been used to investigate the molecular epidemiology of this important foodborne pathogen and elucidate its sources and reservoirs. These include pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), multilocus sequence typing (MLST), flarestriction fragment length polymorphism and amplified fragment length polymorphism (Duim et al. 1999; Dingle et al. 2005; Johnson et al. 2006; Thakur et al. 2006; Pope et al. 2007). Although PFGE has been considered as 'gold standard' method to subtype all the major foodborne pathogens and is highly discriminatory, inter-laboratory comparisons can be difficult because of complex protocols and accessibility to equipment. More recently, MLST methods have been developed and are now regularly used for genotyping multiple bacterial species other than Campylobacter including Salmonella, Vibrio and Staphylococcus (Kotetishvili et al. 2003; Alcaine et al. 2006; Saunders and Holmes 2007). MLST is based on indexing sequence variation in a defined set of housekeeping genes, which have limited capacities for nonlethal mutations. This overcomes limitations in other methods applied to phylogenetic analyses of bacterial populations with hypervariable genomes such as *Campylobacter* (Thakur *et al.* 2006), including strains that are temporally and geographically separated. In addition, MLST has the advantage of ease of inter-laboratory data comparisons through web-based applications.

This study was conducted to evaluate PFGE and MLST typing methods for their capacity to determine if antimicrobial-resistant *C. coli* genotypes were shared between humans with campylobacteriosis and retail meat samples that were related spatially and temporally. An important aim of this study was to evaluate the discriminatory power, throughput and group associations of MLST with PFGE using single and double restriction enzymes. Finally, we elucidated the phylogenetic makeup and evidence of sequence type (ST) association with the host or particular antimicrobial resistance profiles.

#### Materials and methods

## Origin of C. coli strains

A total of 78 C. coli isolates recovered from 814 retail meat samples, including 209 samples each of chicken breast, pork chop and ground beef and another 187 ground turkey samples, were included in this study. These samples were collected as part of a retail meat surveillance study conducted in Iowa (Hayes et al. 2003) and Campylobacter was isolated and speciated using standard bacteriological and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods (Thakur and Gebreyes 2005). The representative isolates in this study from retail meat products included 65 isolated from chicken breast, 11 from ground turkey and two from pork chops. None of the ground beef samples were culture positive for C. coli. In addition, 20 C. coli isolates from clinically ill human patients collected in Iowa during the same time period were included for comparative analysis. Information on the intake of antimicrobials by humans prior to sample collection was not known.

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined using the agar dilution method as recommended by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), formerly National Clinical Committee for Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (CLSI, 2006). The list of antimicrobials with their abbreviations and range of concentrations used were: ciprofloxacin (Cip; 0.008–4 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), erythromycin (Ery; 0.06–32 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), gentamicin (Gen; 0.06– 32 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) and doxycycline (Dox; 0.06–32 mg l<sup>-1</sup>). The CLSI breakpoint interpretative criteria for *Campylobacteriaceae* family were used for the antimicrobials including ciprofloxacin (Cip: 4 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), Erythromycin (Ery: 32 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) and Doxycycline (Dox: 8 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) as recommended by CLSI (2006). For gentamicin (Gen), the breakpoint level of 8 mg l<sup>-1</sup> was used following the NARMS (2008) criteria. We used *C. jejuni* ATCC 33560 as the quality control organism. Multidrug resistance (MDR) was defined as isolates exhibiting resistance to two or more antimicrobials at the same time when tested by the agar dilution method.

# **PFGE Typing**

A total of 70 C. coli isolates were typed by PFGE following the rapid protocol for Campylobacter (Ribot et al. 2001). All human C. coli isolates and 50 isolates from retail meat were selected proportionally representing the source, resistance profile, time and geographic location of sampling. Briefly, 400 ml overnight culture cells were lysed and intact genomic DNA was digested in separate reactions in agarose-embedded plugs with either SmaI or KpnI. Digested DNA was separated using a contourclamped homogeneous electric field-DRIII (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) with the following conditions: 0.5× Tris-Borate 15 EDTA, 1% Seakem Gold agarose (FMC BioProducts, Rockland, ME, USA), 14°C, 6 V cm<sup>-1</sup> for 18 h with switch times ranging from 6.75 to 38.4 s with an included angle of 120°. Salmonella enterica serovar Braenderup 17 'Universal Marker' was used as the reference marker. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed under UV light. Interpretation of DNA fingerprint patterns was accomplished using BIONUMERICS<sup>®</sup> 4.0 software (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). The banding patterns were compared using Dice coefficients with a 1.5% band position tolerance. Isolate relatedness was determined using the unweighted pair group method using arithmetic averages.

# Multilocus sequence typing

Genomic DNA purification for sequencing was done using the Qiagen DNA purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) for the same 70 *C. coli* isolates typed by PFGE. MLST of seven housekeeping genes (*aspA*, *glnA*, *gltA*, *glyA*, *pgm*, *tkt* and *uncA*) for *C. coli* was done following the strategy described previously for *Campylobacter* (Dingle *et al.* 2005). Sequencing reactions using the forward and reverse primers in separate wells was done using 2  $\mu$ l of the BigDye Ready Reaction mix (version 3.1; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 1  $\mu$ mol l<sup>-1</sup> each primer, 5·5  $\mu$ l of molecular grade deionized water and 2  $\mu$ l of the purified PCR product. Sequencing reaction was performed on the automated 3700 ABI capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems) with running conditions of 30 cycles of 96°C for 10 s, 50°C for 5 s and 60°C for 4 min. The CLUSTALW program was used for aligning the forward and reverse sequences was used (http://www.ebi. ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/index.html). The allelic profiles and the STs were then generated by blasting the correct sequence size on the MLST website from the *Campylobacter* database (accessible at http://www.pubmlst.org/ campylobacter or http://www.mlst.net). We generated a dendrogram after concatenating the seven house keeping gene sequences using the BIONUMERICS program.

# Data analysis

The frequency of antimicrobial resistance patterns and MIC levels between isolates from different sources were compared using the chi-squared test (Minitab Inc, State College, PA, USA) and Fisher's exact two-tailed test wherever applicable. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Simpson's index of diversity was calculated to compare the discriminatory power of the two genotyping methods used in this study (Hunter and Gaston 1988). The formula used to calculate the index of discrimination (DI) is:

$$DI = 1 - \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{S} n_j(n_j - 1)$$

where, DI is the index of discrimination, N is the total number of strains in the sample population, S is the total number of types described and  $n_j$  is the number of strains belonging to the *j*th type. The DI value ranges between 0.0 and 1.0. The higher the index, the more discriminatory the method is.

The ST most likely to be the founder of the clonal complex was determined using the EBURST software as recommended previously (Feil et al. 2004). In addition, we used the stringent group definition where isolates with six of the seven housekeeping gene alleles matching were placed in the same complex. Bootstrapping method (re-sampling 1000 times) was done to provide statistical confidence to assignment of the ST as the putative founder of the complex. The index of association  $(I_A)$  was determined using the START program to assess the clonality of the population (Jolley et al. 2001). An absolute value of zero  $(I_A = 0)$  indicates that the population is freely recombining and is not clonal, whereas a value of 1.0 indicates clonality of isolates. A dendrogram was built using BIONUMERICS (Applied Maths) to determine the relationship between the different STs generated. Phylogenetic analysis and determination of variable sites in the unique alleles was done using the MEGA software version 4.2 (Tamura et al. 2007).

Source	No. of isolates	Resistance prof	ile, <i>n</i> (%)*			
		Ciprofloxacin	n Erythromycin Doxycycline Gentamic			Resistance patterns, n (%)†
Pork chops	2	0	2 (100)	2 (100)	0	Ery Dox 2 (100)
Chicken breast	65	14 (22)	22 (34)	31 (48)	0	Cip 4 (6), Ery 11 (17), Dox 12 (18), Ery Dox 8 (12), Cip Ery 1 (2), Cip Dox 7 (11), Cip Ery Dox 2 (3)
Ground turkey	11	9 (82)	5 (46)	10 (91)	0	Ery 1 (9), Ery Dox 1 (9), Cip Ery 6 (55), Cip Ery Dox 3 (27)
Total meat type	78	23 (29)	29 (37)	43 (55)	0	Cip 4 (5), Dox 12 (15), Ery 12 (15), Cip Dox 13 (17), Cip Ery 1 (1), Ery Dox 11 (14), Cip Ery Dox 5 (6)
Human	20	3 (15)	13 (65)	12 (60)	0	Cip 1 (5), Ery 4 (20), Dox 3 (15), Ery Dox 6 (30), Cip Ery Dox 2 (10)

Table 1 Antimicrobial resistance phenotypes of Campylobacter coli isolates from humans and retail meats

Cip, ciprofloxacin; Ery, erythromycin; Dox, doxycycline; Gen, gentamicin.

\*Antimicrobial resistance exhibited by the C. coli isolate to a single antimicrobial.

\*Antimicrobial resistance exhibited by the C. coli isolate either singly or in combination with another antimicrobial.

#### Results

#### Antimicrobial resistance phenotypes

Ciprofloxacin resistance was observed in 15% of C. coli isolates recovered from ill humans and 29% of isolates obtained from retail meats (Table 1). Isolates from ground turkey were significantly more resistant to ciprofloxacin and doxycycline than isolates obtained from humans and chickens (P < 0.001). Ciprofloxacin MICs among the resistant strains from humans and retail meats ranged from 8 to 64  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>. A higher frequency of C. coli isolates displayed resistance to erythromycin compared with ciprofloxacin, including 65% of isolates recovered from humans and 37% of isolates obtained from retail meat samples. Erythromycin resistance was observed for both isolates originating from pork chops, and was commonly observed in isolates recovered from ill humans (65%), ground turkey (46%) and chicken breast (34%). A total of 23 C. coli isolate including 20 from chicken breast and three from humans were pansusceptible and all isolates were susceptible to gentamicin.

With regards to MDR phenotypes, a total of seven different antimicrobial-resistant patterns were found among the 98 *C. coli* isolates tested (Table 1). MDR *C. coli* isolates were observed from both humans and retail meats with co-resistance to erythromycin and doxycycline being the overall predominant pattern (17%). *Campylobacter coli* isolates exhibiting the MDR phenotype ciprofloxacin/erythromycin/doxycycline were recovered from humans, chicken breast and ground turkey. Also, a significantly higher frequency of *C. coli* isolates recovered from ground turkey as compared with other sources exhibited the ciprofloxacin/erythromycin and ciprofloxacin/erythromycin/doxycycline resistance patterns (P < 0.01).

# *C. coli* genotypic diversity based on MLST and PFGE analysis

The overall C. coli population was diverse as revealed by the MLST data, with 37 STs identified among the 70 C. coli isolates tested. ST-829 was the most common ST, represented by 11 C. coli isolates from chicken breast and ground turkey followed by ST-1068 represented by seven isolates from humans (Table 2). Eight new alleles were identified resulting in the assignment of eight new STs. The new alleles for the different housekeeping genes reported include pgm (n = 5), glt (n = 2) and gln(n = 1). The majority of these new alleles were observed in isolates originating from chicken breasts (75%) with the remainder coming from ground turkey. The number of unique alleles for the different housekeeping genes varied from three for asp to 12 for the pgm gene (Table 3). Alleles varied from 0.83% for gln to 11.74% for asp. All the STs except two were found to be host specific in this study. The two nonhost-specific STs include ST-829 (n = 9) composed of chicken (n = 8) and turkey (n = 1)isolates, and ST-1107 (n = 2) represented by a single isolate each from humans and pork chops. The MLST dendrogram generated by concatenating the seven housekeeping genes sequences (3309 base pair) revealed a total of 37 clusters including 13 clonal and 24 unique profiles. Based on the Simpson's index of diversity, the MLST method had a discriminatory power of 0.95. As expected, the major groups were ST-829 (cluster 9) which included 11 isolates from chicken and turkey and

	Table 2	Campylobacter	<i>coli</i> clonal	complex re	presenting	sequence	types (	STs),	source and	l antimicrobial	resistance	patterns
--	---------	---------------	--------------------	------------	------------	----------	---------	-------	------------	-----------------	------------	----------

		Profile	Profile								
Clonal complex	ST* (data)	asp	gln	glt	gly	pgm	tkt	unc	Source	No. of isolates	Resistance patterns
ST-828	825	33	39	30	82	113	47	17	Chicken	3	Cip, Ery
	829	33	39	30	82	113	43	17	Chicken, turkey	11	Cip, Ery, Dox, Cip Dox, Ery Dox
	854	33	38	30	82	104	43	17	Human	2	Ery Dox
	860	33	39	30	79	113	47	17	Chicken	3	Ery
	894	33	39	65	82	113	47	17	Human	1	Pan-susceptible
	899	33	39	30	82	113	35	17	Human	1	Pan-susceptible
	1017	33	39	30	82	104	43	41	Chicken	2	Cip Dox
	1058	33	39	30	82	104	35	17	Human	1	Cip Ery Dox
	1063	33	39	30	140	113	43	41	Chicken	1	Ery
	1068	33	39	30	78	104	43	17	Human	7	Ery, Ery Dox, Cip Ery Dox
	1082	33	39	30	82	211	85	17	Human	1	Cip
	1099	33	38	30	167	104	35	17	Human	1	Ery Dox
	1107	33	38	30	82	104	44	36	Pork, human	2	Ery, Ery Dox
	1112	33	39	30	82	104	43	68	Human	1	Pan-susceptible
	1119	33	39	30	82	113	43	41	Chicken	2	Cip Ery, Cip Ery Dox
	1148	33	39	122	82	113	43	17	Turkey	1	Ery Dox
	1175	33	39	65	82	216	47	17	Chicken	3	Dox, Ery Dox
	2625	33	39	30	82	209	47	17	Chicken	2	Dox
	2626	33	39	122	82	113	47	17	Chicken	5	Ery Dox
	2630	33	39	222	82	104	47	17	Chicken	1	Cip Dox
	2631	33	39	30	140	113	43	17	Turkey	1	Cip Dox
	2632	33	260	122	82	189	43	17	Chicken	1	Dox
	2634	33	39	223	82	113	47	17	Chicken	1	Dox
	2636	33	39	30	82	374	43	17	Chicken	2	Cip, Cip Dox
	2638	103	39	30	82	189	47	17	Turkey	1	Cip Dox
	2639	33	39	65	82	376	47	17	Turkey	1	Cip Ery Dox
ST-1150	2633	103	110	103	140	104	164	120	Chicken	1	Pan-susceptible
	2635	103	110	30	140	188	47	79	Turkey	1	Cip Dox
	2637	103	110	30	140	188	164	120	Chicken	1	Cip Dox
	2643	103	110	30	140	375	164	79	Turkey	1	Cip Dox
None	1123	53	38	44	82	118	35	36	Human	2	Dox
	1470	53	38	30	82	104	35	36	Human	1	Dox
	2627	103	110	30	140	373	47	120	Chicken	1	Cip Ery Dox
	2628	33	39	30	140	113	47	41	Chicken	1	Ery
	2629	33	110	30	140	113	47	17	Turkey	1	Cip Ery Dox
	2640	103	110	122	140	104	164	41	Chicken	1	Ery Dox
	2644	33	153	44	161	104	44	17	Human	1	Ery

Cip, ciprofloxacin; Ery, erythromycin; Dox, doxycycline; Gen, gentamicin.

\*Sequence type generated by the unique combination of alleles for the seven housekeeping genes.

ST-1068 (cluster 23) composed of the seven isolates from humans.

Extensive genotypic diversity of the *C. coli* isolates was also observed using PFGE. The discriminatory power of PFGE using either single or double enzymes was greater than the MLST method. Visual interpretation of the two dendrograms also reflected the ability of PFGE to discriminate between isolates that were clustered in the same group by MLST. We observed a discriminatory power (DI) of 0.98 for *SmaI* alone and 0.99 each for *KpnI* alone and for *SmaI* plus *KpnI*. Four clonal complexes and 57 unique clusters were identified when a dendrogram was generated using results from both *KpnI* and *SmaI* digestions. The higher discriminatory power of PFGE was shown by the ability of this method to further partition the clonal clusters created by MLST. For example, MLST cluster 9, comprising the 11 ST-829 isolates, was further split into seven PFGE clusters (Fig. 1). Similarly, MLST cluster number 23 composed of seven human *C. coli* isolates was partitioned into three clusters by PFGE (Fig. 1). Other than two isolates (ST-1175) from chicken breast, five from humans (ST-1068) and another two (ST-2636)

Genotyping of Campylobacter coli

 Table 3 Genetic diversity of Campylobacter coli based on multilocus sequence typing (MLST) data

Locus	Fragment csize	Alleles	New alleles	No. variable sites	Per cent variable sites	d <sub>N</sub> ∕d <sub>S</sub> *
Asp	477	3	0	56	11.74	0.0365
Gln	477	5	1	4	0.83	0.0913
Glt	402	7	2	6	1.5	0.2946
Gly	507	6	0	7	1.38	0.1527
Pgm	498	12	5	19	3.8	0.0575
Tkt	459	6	0	24	5.22	0.1185
Unc	489	6	0	8	1.63	0.00

\*Degree of nonsynonymous base substitution to synonymous base substitution.

from chicken breast, PFGE using two enzymes was able to discriminate individuals in the rest of the ST clusters. In addition, both typing methods were able to further differentiate isolates clustered together in a single group using the alternate method. However, MLST was able to do this only in clusters created by the PFGE method using *SmaI* enzyme alone. While the use of *KpnI* restriction enzyme alone or in combination with *SmaI* resulted in excellent discrimination (DI 0.99), *KpnI* was unable to digest DNA from two *C. coli* isolates, one originating from chicken breast and the other from an ill person. A similar observation was seen with *SmaI* enzyme for a single isolate recovered from chicken breast.

Individual antimicrobial resistance patterns exhibited by *C. coli* isolates from different sources were represented by multiple STs and PFGE patterns. For instance, the ciprofloxacin/erythromycin/doxycycline resistance pattern (n = 6) was represented by multiple STs including ST-1068 (human), ST-1119, 2627 (chicken breast) and ST-2629, 2639 (ground turkey). Similarly, the erythromycin/doxycycline antimicrobial resistance pattern (n = 12)was represented by STs 854, 1068 and 1089 from humans, STs 829, 1175, 2626 and 2640 from chicken breast, ST-1148 from ground turkey and ST-1107 from pork chops implying strains with this resistance pattern are not necessarily clonal. PFGE analysis further confirmed these observations (Fig. 1).

### Phylogenetic analysis of the C. coli population

Sequence information from the MLST data was used to determine the evolutionary relationships of the 70 *C. coli* isolates tested. We used the stringent group definition of six or more shared alleles at each locus to define members of the same clonal complex. Two clonal complexes were defined in the study population with ST-828 clonal complex being the major complex representing 26 (70%) of

the 37 STs (Table 2). ST-829 was predicted as the putative founder of the clonal complex. The majority (n = 58) of the isolates recovered from different sources clustered together in one group under this clonal complex. Four STs represented by isolates from ST-2633 (chicken), ST-2637 (chicken) and ST-2635, 2643 (turkey) were grouped under the ST-1150 clonal complex. The remaining seven STs (ST-1123, 1470, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2640 and 2644) from humans (n = 3), chicken breast (n = 3) and ground turkey (n = 1) did not cluster in any clonal complex.

A radial neighbour-joining tree was generated by concatenating sequences (3309 base pair) from the unique STs (n = 37) (Fig. 2). A total of seven *C. coli* isolates including four from chicken breast and three from ground turkey clustered away from the main group of isolates. These seven STs were also reported for the first time in this study. The  $d_N/d_S$  ratio (nonsynonymous to synonymous base substitution) was less than one for all the housekeeping genes and varied from 0.00 for *unc* to 0.29 for *glt* gene (Table 3). The standardized  $I_A$  for the whole population was 0.14, which provides evidence of linkage equilibrium in this population.

# Discussion

Retail meat and poultry have been implicated frequently in campylobacteriosis cases in humans (Hänninen et al. 2000; Ge et al. 2002; Gillespie et al. 2002; Moore et al. 2003; Fitch et al. 2005; Wingstrand et al. 2006). Besides the role played by C. jejuni in causing illness in humans, C. coli has been recovered from humans, animals and retail meat products (Zhao et al. 2001; Ge et al. 2002; Thakur and Gebreyes 2005; Kang et al. 2006; Asai et al. 2007; Luber and Bartelt 2007; Stovanchev et al. 2007). In addition, the ability of C. coli to exhibit resistance to multiple antimicrobials including fluoroquinolones and macrolides more frequently than C. jejuni has raised interest from both a scientific and a public health perspective (Ge et al. 2002; Luber et al. 2003; Fitch et al. 2005; Thakur and Gebreyes 2005; Kang et al. 2006; Thakur et al. 2006; Asai et al. 2007; D'lima et al. 2007; Kinana et al. 2007; Little et al. 2008).

In this study, high frequency of antimicrobial resistance was observed to ciprofloxacin and erythromycin in isolates irrespective of the host. For example, 65% of the human *C. coli* isolates were resistant to erythromycin. Among the isolates from retail meat, *C. coli* from ground turkey samples had the highest frequency of resistance to ciprofloxacin (82%) and erythromycin (46%). These results are similar to other studies which have reported high rates of resistance to fluoroquinolones and macrolides among *C. coli* recovered from turkey products (Ge *et al.* 2002; Luangtongkum *et al.* 2006; D'lima *et al.* 

PFGE - smal + PFGE kpnl Sma/kpn			-	<b>0T</b>	Clonal	PFGE	MLST
<u>9</u>	PFGE-Kpnl	0 Ш Д О CVM #	Chielen	SI 005	Complex	Cluster	Cluster
		13304	Chicken	825 2626	ST-828	2	15
		11199	Chicken	825	ST-828	3	11
		16761	Chicken	829	ST-828	4	9
		13747	Chicken	1175	ST-828	5	36
		16948	Chicken	2634	ST-828	6	17
		11129	Chicken	1175	ST-828	7	36
		11130	Chicken	1175	ST-828	7	36
		23901	Human	894	ST-828	8	18
		15847	Turkey	2638	S1-828	9	6 15
		13657	Chicken	2626	ST-828	11	15
		17767	Human	1068	ST-828	12	23
		17812	Human	1068	ST-828	12	23
r I III I I		17832	Human	1068	ST-828	12	23
		23896	Human	1068	ST-828	12	23
		23913	Human	1068	ST-828	12	23
		23889	Human	1068	ST-828	13	23
		17808	Human	1068	S1-828	14	23
		17880	Human	804 1058	ST-828	15	22
		23904	Human	899	ST-828	17	14
		13757	Chicken	860	ST-828	18	16
		13758	Chicken	860	ST-828	19	16
		13827	Chicken	2628		20	20
		15782	Chicken	2626	ST-828	21	15
		16601	Chicken	2632	ST-828	22	31
		12865	Chicken	829	ST-828	23	9
		12868	Chicken	829	S1-828	23	9
		15663	Chicken	829	ST-828	23	9
		12912	Chicken	829	ST-828	24	9
		16535	Turkey	1148	ST-828	25	13
		23929	Human	1082	ST-828	26	32
		11263	Chicken	1017	ST-828	27	21
		23938	Human	1470		28	34
		13403	Chicken	829	ST-828	29	9
		15415	Chicken	829	S1-828	30	9
		17285	Chicken	2636	ST-828	31	8
		16767	Chicken	1119	ST-828	32	10
		11262	Chicken	2625	ST-828	33	10
		11366	Chicken	2625	ST-828	34	28
		12908	Chicken	829	ST-828	35	9
		16530	Turkey	2631	ST-828	36	12
		11449	Chicken	825	SI-828	37	11
		23880	Human	1123	ST-828	30	33 25
		15419	Pork	1107	ST-828	40	30
		23914	Human	1107	ST-828	41	30
		17877	Human	854	ST-828	42	22
		11200	Turkey	2629		43	26
		16603	Chicken	829	ST-828	44	11
		17091	Iurkey	2643	SI-1150	45	3
		10/05	Chicken	2640		46	33
		16705	Chicken	860	ST-828	48	16
		13821	Chicken	1063	ST-828	49	19
		17819	Human	1099	ST-828	50	25
		12864	Chicken	829	ST-828	51	9
		17136	Turkey	832	ST-828	52	9
		11136	Chicken	2627	OT 11	53	5
		16871	Unicken	2633	SI-1150	54	2
		15852	Chicken	2039 1017	ST-828	56 56	21
		17083	Turkev	2635	ST-1150	57	7
		17288	Chicken	2637	ST-1150	58	4
		13361	Chicken	1055	ST-828	59	27
		11264	Chicken	1119	ST-828	60	10
		17829	Human	2644		61	35



**Figure 2** Radial neighbour-joining tree representing the 37 unique sequence types identified among the 70 *Campylobacter coli* isolates from humans and retail meats. Pork chops and human ( $\blacklozenge$ ), human ( $\square$ ), chicken breast ( $\blacksquare$ ), ground turkey ( $\triangle$ ) and chicken breast and ground turkey ( $\nabla$ ).

2007). This is concerning from a public health perspective because of fluoroquinolones and macrolides are the antimicrobial agents of choice for treating severe campylobacteriosis cases in humans (Butzler 2004; Yates 2005). It is however important to mention that the retail meat samples were collected in the year 2002–2003 when fluoroquinolones were licensed for use in the poultry industry. The impact of removal of these antimicrobials from the poultry industry in the US in 2005 has yet to be quantified. None of *C. coli* isolates tested showed resistance to gentamicin, which is similar to results from other studies (NARMS, 2008) including isolates originating from chicken, cattle and pigs (Bywater *et al.* 2004) and from retail pork (Ge *et al.* 2002). MDR isolates were observed

**Figure 1** Comparison of PFGE and MLST profiles among *C. coli* isolates. Black boxes indicate resistance to a particular antimicrobial agent. Empty space in the clonal complex column indicates that the particular ST could not be assigned to any complex. CIP, ciprofloxacin; ERY, erythromycin; DOX, doxycycline; GEN, gentamicin; MLST, multilocus sequence typing; PFGE, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis; ST, sequence type.

from humans and all the retail meat types including the ciprofloxacin/erythromycin/doxycycline and ciprofloxacin/erythromycin patterns. Previous studies have reported the occurrence of MDR strains of *C. coli* from animals, humans and retail meat (Cloak and Fratamico 2002; Payot *et al.* 2004; Gebreyes *et al.* 2005; Thakur and Gebreyes 2005; Little *et al.* 2008). In particular, turkey isolates have been shown to have a higher frequency of MDR compared with other sources including chicken (Ge *et al.* 2002).

In epidemiological investigations relying on molecular strain typing methods, it is very important that the right genotyping method be used. Selection of the appropriate method is challenging when pathogens with hypervariable genomes such as Campvlobacter spp. are characterized (Parkhill et al. 2000; Dingle et al. 2001). We therefore compared the discriminatory power of MLST with PFGE and found the latter method, when using either single or double enzymes, to be more discriminatory than MLST. Campylobacter coli isolates with the same STs were further differentiated by PFGE typing. This is in contrast to earlier studies where MLST was reported to have a higher discriminatory power than PFGE (single enzyme only) (Thakur et al. 2006) or similar power (Duim et al. 2003). The restriction enzyme KpnI was found to be a more discriminatory restriction enzyme than SmaI in our study. Similar observations have been made previously for typing Campylobacter species (Petersen and On 2000; Sahin et al. 2008). However, nontypeability of some strains with KpnI and SmaI is an issue and was observed both in our study and in a recent study conducted in Puerto Rico (Oyarzabal et al. 2008). In addition, genetic variation seen in Campylobacter strains is another concern when using PFGE for genotyping (On 1998). A total of three C. coli isolates were untypable by either SmaI (ID 13361) or KpnI (ID 11264 and 17829) or had too many bands (ID 12864 and 17136), so we were not able to score the bands. Based on these results, we retested them by biochemical methods and PCR and made absolutely sure they were C. coli. Also, all the three isolates were typable with the other restriction enzyme as shown in Fig. 1. We specifically included them in the dendrogram because we were able to reconfirm them as C. coli and then genotype them using MLST.

Unique STs associated with specific hosts identified in this study could indicate that different food animal rearing conditions and processing might be selecting for particular ecologically fit strains. However, this is in contrast with the results obtained by Miller *et al.* (2006) who reported similar STs shared between humans and animal sources including cattle, pigs and turkey. The wide variety of *C. coli* STs associated with chicken breast suggests that the processed samples may come in contact with different environmental sources during processing, such as rinse tanks or through shared surfaces. For example, Gormley *et al.* (2008) observed similar genotype in *Campylobacter* isolates from retail chicken to the genotype of strains prevalent in their environment. We can also speculate that the different STs found only in specific hosts may reflect host adaptation. This may be especially true for strains that circulate in a given environment, because *in vivo* passage increases the colonization potential of individual strains making them more fit to stably infect a given host.

As expected, high genotypic diversity was revealed among the C. coli isolates using both MLST and PFGE typing. This was clearly shown by the association of different antimicrobial resistance profiles within the same ST and host. Unlike our previous study where we found ST-1413 associated only with isolates that were ciprofloxacin resistant (Thakur et al. 2006), we found no such association of a specific ST with an antimicrobial resistance pattern. However, we also found ST-1068 among C. coli isolates only from humans. This may indicate the potential of this particular ST to cause clinical illness in humans, or reflect a predominant ST circulating in Iowa during the sampling interval. ST-1068 has previously been reported to be clonal and most often associated with cattle (Miller et al. 2006). As all the ground beef samples tested were negative for C. coli, we could not confirm this observation, however the identification of unique STs associated with human illnesses has been reported with C. jejuni (Dingle et al. 2005; Kärenlampi et al. 2007; McTavish et al. 2007; Ogden et al. 2007). More studies need to be conducted on C. coli ST-1068 to better understand its epidemiology in the environment and its association with human illness.

A group of seven STs (2627, 2633, 2635, 2637, 2638, 2640 and 2643) isolated from chicken breast and ground turkey clustered separately from the main group of isolates in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2). All of the STs reported in this study are being reported for the first time and could indicate that this group is evolving away from the main cluster because of recombination or mutational events which have been attributed for population divergence (Colles et al. 2003; McCarthy et al. 2007; Quiñones et al. 2008). Further evidence of genotypic variability was seen in some of the housekeeping genes including asp, pgm and tkt genes (Table 3). The variability in the housekeeping genes of our data set was higher and in a different set of housekeeping genes including the asp gene than what has been previously reported (Dingle et al. 2005; Fitch et al. 2005; Thakur et al. 2006; Kinana et al. 2007; Litrup et al. 2007). We did not find any other report highlighting such high variability in the asp gene in the literature. In addition, we found high variability in the pgm and tkt genes with 19 and 24 variable sites respectively. It could be possible that this group of *C. coli* strains have recently acquired foreign genomic material due to a recombinational event that has led to such high variability in this region (Miller *et al.* 2006; Kinana *et al.* 2007; Litrup *et al.* 2007; Oyarzabal *et al.* 2008).

The major clonal complex observed in our study was ST-828 complex, which has been previously reported to be the predominant C. coli complex with isolates originating from a multitude of sources (Dingle et al. 2005; Miller et al. 2006; Thakur et al. 2006). ST-829 was predicted the clonal complex founder in our study. However, it was ultimately placed under the ST-828 clonal complex. It is quite possible that if we had a bigger pool of C. coli isolates and if one of these isolates was ST-828, it would have been predicted as the clonal complex founder. So, we can attribute this observation (ST-829 being the clonal complex founder) to the sample size of our study. The index of association value of 0.143 highlights the recombining nature of this population. The  $I_A$  value was lower than what has been previously reported from pigs, broilers, cattle and humans (Thakur et al. 2006; Litrup et al. 2007). The neighbour-joining tree created by concatenating the sequences showed close clustering of STs from different sources. The majority of the STs that were members of this complex in our study were unique to the host and overlapping STs were observed only in two STs (ST-829 and -1107). These data perhaps indicate a host preference dependent on the C. coli genotype; however, further studies with additional isolates obtained from various sources need to be conducted to determine whether this is indeed the case.

# References

- Adak, G.K., Long, S.M. and O'Brien, S.J. (2002) Trends in indigenous foodborne disease and deaths, England and Wales: 1992 to 2000. *Gut* 51, 832–841.
- Alcaine, S.D., Soyer, Y., Warnick, L.D., Su, W.L., Sukhnanand, S., Richards, J., Fortes, E.D., McDonough, P. *et al.* (2006) Multilocus sequence typing supports the hypothesis that cow- and human-associated *Salmonella* isolates represent distinct and overlapping populations. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 7575–7585.
- Asai, T., Harada, K., Ishihara, K., Kojima, A., Sameshima, T., Tamura, Y. and Takahashi, T. (2007) Association of antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* isolated from foodproducing animals with antimicrobial use on farms. *Jpn J Infect Dis* **60**, 290–294.
- Butzler, J.P. (2004) Campylobacter, from obscurity to celebrity. Clin Microbiol Infect 10, 868–876.
- Bywater, R., Deluyker, H., Deroover, E., de Jong, A., Marion, H., McConville, M., Rowan, T., Shryock, T. *et al.* (2004) A European survey of antimicrobial susceptibility among

zoonotic and commensal bacteria isolated from food-producing animals. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **54**, 744–754.

- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2008) Preliminary FoodNet data on the incidence of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food – 10 states. *MMWR Morb Mort Wkly Rep* **57**, 366–370.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute/NCCLS (2006) Methods for Antimicrobial Dilution and Disk Susceptibility Testing of Infrequently Isolated or Fastidious Bacteria: Approved Guideline. CLSI document M45-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Cloak, O.M. and Fratamico, P.M. (2002) A multiplex polymerase chain reaction for the differentiation of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* from a swine processing facility and characterization of isolates by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and antibiotic resistance profiles. *J Food Prot* 65, 266–273.
- Colles, F.M., Jones, K., Harding, R.M. and Maiden, M.C. (2003) Genetic diversity of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from farm animals and the farm environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**, 7409–7413.
- D'lima, C.B., Miller, W.G., Mandrell, R.E., Wright, S.L., Siletzky, R.M., Carver, D.K. and Kathariou, S. (2007) Clonal population structure and specific genotypes of multidrugresistant *Campylobacter coli* from Turkeys. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73, 2156–2164.
- Dingle, K.E., Colles, F.M., Wareing, D.R., Ure, R., Fox, A.J., Bolton, F.E., Bootsma, H.J., Willems, R.J. *et al.* (2001) Multilocus sequence typing for *Campylobacter jejuni*. J Clin Microbiol **39**, 14–23.
- Dingle, K.E., Colles, F.M., Falush, D. and Maiden, M.C. (2005) Sequence typing and comparison of population biology of *Campylobacter coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni*. J Clin Microbiol 43, 340–347.
- Duim, B., Wassenaar, T.M., Rigter, A. and Wagenaar, J.A. (1999) High-resolution genotyping of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from poultry and humans with amplified fragment length polymorphism fingerprinting. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65**, 2369–2375.
- Duim, B., Godschalk, P.C., van den Braak, N., Dingle, K.E., Dijkstra, J.R., Leyde, E., van der Plas, J., Colles, F.M. *et al.* (2003) Molecular evidence for dissemination of unique *Campylobacter jejuni* clones in Curacao, Netherlands Antilles. J Clin Microbiol **41**, 5593–5597.
- Feil, E.J., Lei, B.C., Aanensen, D.M., Hanage, W.P. and Spratt, B.G. (2004) eBURST: Inferring patterns of evolutionary descent among clusters of related bacterial genotypes from multi locus sequence typing data. J Bact 186, 1518–1530.
- Fitch, B.R., Sachen, K.L., Wilder, S.R., Burg, M.A., Lacher, D.W., Khalife, W.T., Whittam, T.S. and Young, V.B. (2005) Genetic diversity of *Campylobacter sp.* isolates from retail chicken products and humans with gastroenteritis in Central Michigan. *J Clin Microbiol* 43, 4221–4224.

Ge, B., Bodeis, S., Walker, R.D., White, D.G., Zhao, S., McDermott, P.F. and Meng, J. (2002) Comparison of the Etest and agar dilution for in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Campylobacter. J Antimicrob Chemother* 50, 487–494.

Gebreyes, W.A., Thakur, S. and Morrow, W.E. (2005) *Campylobacter coli:* prevalence and antimicrobial resistance in antimicrobial-free (ABF) swine production systems. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 56, 765–768.

Gillespie, I.A., O'Brien, S.J., Frost, J.A., Adak, G.K., Horby, P., Swan, A.V., Painter, M.J., Neal, K.R. *et al.* (2002) A casecase comparison of *Campylobacter coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni* infection: a tool for generating hypotheses. *Emerg Infect Dis* **8**, 937–942.

Gormley, F.J., Macrae, M., Forbes, K.J., Ogden, I.D., Dallas, J.F. and Strachan, N.J. (2008) Has retail chicken played a role in the decline of human campylobacteriosis? *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74, 383–390.

Hänninen, M.L., Perko-Mäkelä, P., Pitkälä, A. and Rautelin,
H. (2000) A three-year study of *Campylobacter jejuni* genotypes in humans with domestically acquired infections and in chicken samples from the Helsinki area. *J Clin Microbiol* 38, 1998–2000.

Hayes, J.R., English, L.L., Carter, P.J., Proescholdt, T., Lee, K.Y., Wagner, D.D. and White, D.G. (2003) Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Enterococcus* species isolated from retail meats. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 69, 7153–7160.

Hunter, P.R. and Gaston, M.A. (1988) Numerical index of the discriminatory ability of typing systems: an application of Simpson's Index of diversity. *J Clin Microbiol* 26, 2465– 2466.

Johnson, J.Y., McMullen, L.M., Hasselback, P., Louie, M., Jhangri, G. and Saunders, L.D. (2006) Risk factors for ciprofloxacin resistance in reported *Campylobacter* infections in southern Alberta. *Epidemiol Infect* **136**, 903–912.

Jolley, K.A., Feil, E.J., Chan, M.S. and Maiden, M.C.J. (2001) Sequence type analysis and recombinational tests (START). *Bioinformatics* 17, 1230–1231.

Kang, Y.S., Cho, Y.S., Yoon, S.K., Yu, M.A., Kim, C.M., Lee, J.O. and Pyun, Y.R. (2006) Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolated from raw chicken meat and human stools in Korea. J Food Prot 69, 2915–2923.

Kärenlampi, R., Rautelin, H. and Hänninen, M.L. (2007) Evaluation of genetic markers and molecular typing methods for prediction of sources of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* infections. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**, 1683–1685.

Kinana, A.D., Cardinale, E., Bahsoun, I., Tall, F., Sire, J.M., Breurec, S., Garin, B., Saad-Bouh Boye, C. *et al.* (2007) *Campylobacter coli* isolates derived from chickens in Senegal: diversity, genetic exchange with *Campylobacter jejuni* and quinolone resistance. *Res Microbiol* **158**, 138–142.

Kotetishvili, M., Stine, O.C., Chen, Y., Kreger, A., Sulakvelidze, A., Sozhamannan, S. and Morris, J.G. Jr (2003) Multilocus sequence typing has better discriminatory ability for typing *Vibrio cholerae* than does pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and provides a measure of phylogenetic relatedness. *J Clin Microbiol* **41**, 2191–2196.

Kwan, P.S., Birtles, A., Bolton, F.J., French, N.P., Robinson, S.E., Newbold, L.S., Upton, M. and Fox, A.J. (2008) Longitudinal study of the molecular epidemiology of *Campylobacter jejuni* in cattle on dairy farms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74, 3626–3633.

Lévesque, S., Frost, E. and Michaud, S. (2007) Comparison of antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from humans, chickens, raw milk, and environmental water in Québec. *J Food Prot* **70**, 729–735.

Litrup, E., Torpdahl, M. and Nielsen, E.M. (2007) Multilocus sequence typing performed on *Campylobacter coli* isolates from humans, broilers, pigs and cattle originating in Denmark. *J Appl Microbiol* **103**, 210–218.

Little, C.L., Richardson, J.F., Owen, R.J., de Pinna, E. and Threlfall, E.J. (2008) *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* in raw red meats in the United Kingdom: prevalence, characterization and antimicrobial resistance pattern, 2003–2005. *Food Microbiol* **25**, 538–543.

Luangtongkum, T., Morishita, T.Y., Ison, A.J., Huang, S., McDermott, P.F. and Zhang, Q. (2006) Effect of conventional and organic production practices on the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. in poultry. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 3600–3607.

Luber, P. and Bartelt, E. (2007) Enumeration of *Campylobacter* spp. on the surface and within chicken breast fillets. *J Appl Microbiol* **102**, 313–318.

Luber, P., Wagner, J., Hahn, H. and Bartelt, E. (2003) Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* strains isolated in 1991 and 2001–2002 from poultry and humans in Berlin, Germany. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 47, 3825–3830.

McCarthy, N.D., Colles, F.M., Dingle, K.E., Bagnall, M.C., Manning, G., Maiden, M.C. and Falush, D. (2007) Hostassociated genetic import in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Emerg Infect Dis* **13**, 267–272.

McTavish, S.M., Pope, C.E., Nicol, C., Sexton, K., French, N. and Carter, P.E. (2007) Wide geographical distribution of internationally rare *Campylobacter* clones within New Zealand. *Epidemiol Infect* **136**, 1244–1252.

Mead, P.S., Slutsker, L., Dietz, V., McCaig, L.F., Bresee, J.S., Shapiro, C., Griffin, P.M. and Tauxe, R.V. (1999) Foodrelated illness and death in the United States. *Emerg Infect Dis* 5, 607–625.

Miller, W.G., Englen, M.D., Kathariou, S., Wesley, I.V., Wang, G., Pittenger-Alley, L., Siletz, R.M., Muraoka, W. *et al.* (2006) Identification of host-associated alleles by multilocus sequence typing of *Campylobacter coli* strains from food animals. *Microbiology* 152, 245–255.

Moore, J.E., O'Riordan, L., Wareing, D.R., Doyle, R., Lanser, J., Stanley, T., Matsuda, M., Matsui, T. *et al.* (2003) Phenotypic and genotypic relationship between *Campylobacter spp* isolated from humans and chickens in Northern Ireland – a comparison of three phenotyping and two genotyping schemes. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* **206**, 211–216.

- National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) (2008) *Enteric Bacteria: 2004 Executive Report.* Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration. http://www.fda. gov/cvm/2004NARMSExeRpt.htm.
- Ogden, I.D., MacRae, M., Johnston, M., Strachan, N.J., Cody, A.J., Dingle, K.E. and Newell, D.G. (2007) Use of multilocus sequence typing to investigate the association between the presence of *Campylobacter* spp. in broiler drinking water and *Campylobacter* colonization in broilers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73, 5125–5129.
- On, S.L.W. (1998) In vitro genotypic variation of Campylobacter coli documented by pulsed-field gel electrophoretic DNA profiling implications for epidemiological studies. FEMS Microbiol Lett 165, 341–346.
- Oyarzabal, O.A., Backert, S., Williams, L.L., Lastovica, A.J., Miller, R.S., Pierce, S.J., Vieira, S.L. and Rebollo-Carrato, F. (2008) Molecular typing, serotyping and cytotoxicity testing of *Campylobacter jejuni* strains isolated from commercial broilers in Puerto Rico. *J Appl Microbiol* 105, 800–812.
- Parkhill, J., Wren, B.W., Mungall, K., Ketley, J.M., Chucher, C., Basham, D., Chillingworth, T., Davies, R.M. *et al.* (2000) The genome sequence of the food-borne pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni* reveals hypervariable sequences. *Nature* **403**, 665–668.
- Payot, S., Avrain, L., Magras, C., Praud, K., Cloeckaert, A. and Chaslus-Dancla, E. (2004) Relative contribution of target gene mutation and efflux to fluoroquinolone and erythromycin resistance, in French poultry and pig isolates of *Campylobacter coli. Int J Antimicrob Agents* 23, 468–472.
- Petersen, L. and On, S.L. (2000) Efficacy of flagellin gene typing for epidemiological studies of *Campylobacter jejuni* in poultry estimated by comparison with macrorestriction profiling. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **31**, 14–19.
- Pope, C., Wilson, J., Taboada, E.N., Mackinnon, J., Felipe Alves, C.A., Nash, J.H., Rahn, K. and Tannock, G.W. (2007) Epidemiology, relative invasive ability, molecular characterization, and competitive performance of *Campylobacter jejuni* strains in the chicken gut. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73, 7959–7966.
- Quiñones, B., Guilhabert, M.R., Miller, W.G., Mandrell, R.E., Lastovica, A.J. and Parker C, T. (2008) Comparative genomic analysis of clinical strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* from South Africa. *PLoS ONE* 3, e2015.
- Ribot, E.M., Fitzgerald, C., Kubota, K., Swaminathan, B. and Barrett, T.J. (2001) Rapid pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocol for subtyping of *Campylobacter jejuni*. J Clin Microbiol **39**, 1889–1894.
- Rozynek, E., Dzierzanowska-Fangrat, K., Korsak, D., Konieczny, P., Wardak, S., Szych, J., Jarosz, M. and Dzierza-

nowska, D. (2008) Comparison of antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolated from humans and chicken carcasses in Poland. *J Food Prot* **71**, 602–607.

- Sacks, J.J., Lieb, S., Baldy, L.M., Berta, S., Patton, C.M., White, M.C., Bigler, W.J. and Witte, J.J. (1986) Epidemic campylobacteriosis associated with a community water supply. *Am J Public Health* **76**, 424–428.
- Sahin, O., Plummer, P.J., Jordan, D.M., Sulaj, K., Pereira, S., Robbe-Austerman, S., Wang, L., Yaeger, M.J. *et al.* (2008) Emergence of a tetracycline-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* clone associated with outbreaks of ovine abortion in the United States. *J Clin Microbiol* 46, 1663–1671.
- Sails, A.D., Swaminathan, B. and Fields, P.I. (2003) Utility of multilocus sequence typing as an epidemiological tool for investigation of outbreaks of gastroenteritis caused by *Campylobacter jejuni*. J Clin Microbiol 41, 4733–4739.
- Saunders, N.A. and Holmes, A. (2007) Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Methods Mol Biol* 391, 71–85.
- Stoyanchev, T., Vashin, I., Ring, C. and Atanassova, V. (2007) Prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. in poultry and poultry products for sale on the Bulgarian retail market. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 92, 285–288.
- Tam, C.C., O' Brien, S.J., Adak, G.K., Meakins, S.M. and Frost, J.A. (2003) *Campylobacter coli* – an important foodborne pathogen. J Infect 47, 28–32.
- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. and Kumar, S. (2007) MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 24, 1596–1599.
- Thakur, S. and Gebreyes, W.A. (2005) *Campylobacter coli* in swine production: antimicrobial resistance mechanisms and molecular epidemiology. *J Clin Microbiol* **43**, 5705–5714.
- Thakur, S., Morrow, W.E.M., Funk, J.A., Bahnson, P.B. and Gebreyes, W.A. (2006) Molecular epidemiologic investigation of *Campylobacter coli* in swine production systems using multi-locus sequence typing (MLST). *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72, 5666–5669.
- Wingstrand, A., Neimann, J., Engberg, J., Nielsen, E.M., Gerner-Smidt, P., Wegener, H.C. and Mølbak, K. (2006) Chicken as main risk factor for campylobacteriosis, Denmark. *Emerg Infect Dis* 12, 280–285.
- Yates, J. (2005) Traveler's diarrhea. Am Fam Physician 71, 2095–2100.
- Zhao, C., Ge, B., Villena, J., Sudler, R., Yeh, E., Zhao, S., White, D.G., Wagner, D. *et al.* (2001) Prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp., *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella* serovars in retail chicken, turkey, pork, and beef from the Greater Washington, D.C. area. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67, 5431–5436.